SUMMARY. Anthropometric indicators of body composition, reflective of fat and lean compartments in pregnant women, undergo changes throughout gestation. The adequate interpretation of these indicators requires the availability of percentile distribution values for each week of gestational age. The objective was to determine the percentile distribution for subcutaneous skin-fold thicknesses: biceps, triceps, subscapular, mid-thigh, and both arm fat and arm muscle areas for each week of gestational age. This descriptive and cross-sectional study included 4,481 measurements of anthropometric variables obtained from 745 pregnancies out of 719 subjects aged between 19 and 39 years, well-nourished, healthy, without clinical edema, single pregnancy, and validated gestational age. Evaluations were conducted at the Centro de Atención Nutricional Infantil Antímano, between 1998 and 2012. The anthropometric measurements were performed by standardized anthropometrists. Descriptive statistics, bivariant correlations, and percentiles 3, 10, 25, 50, 75, 90, and 97 were calculated for each week of pregnancy between weeks 8 thru 37. The number of measurements performed for each variable studied at each week of gestation ranged between 100 and 236. The behavior of the variables reflecting the fat component showed increases as the gestational age advanced (1.86 cm²), whereas the muscle area showed decreases (-0.02 cm²). The most noticeable variations were seen in the subscapular and mid-thigh skin-fold thicknesses 2.90 mm and 5.0 mm, respectively. The availability of percentile distributions of the anthropometric variables used in the evaluation of body composition for pregnant women per gestational age, contributes to optimizing the nutritional categorization in this population group.

Key words: Percentile distribution, Pregnancy, Anthropometry, Body composition, Nutritional assessment.

INTRODUCTION

During pregnancy, there is an important anabolic state which determines the weight gain in a pregnant woman by approximately 20% with respect to the preconceptional weight, out of which only a little over one third corresponds to the fetus and the amniotic fluid (1). Maternal nutrition and metabolism suffer changes throughout gestation in such a manner that early-stage pregnancy is characterized by the deposit of substances, mainly in the adipose tissue, which is explained by the
hyperphagia present in these women, in addition to endocrine changes, leading to a net increase in maternal weight (2). It is important to note that body composition studies based on the multi-compartment model have not been able to separate the weight increases related to the fetus from those of the mother; however, weight increase estimations relative to fat weight and fat-free weight in pregnant women have improved in the three and four-compartment models (3). The technical difficulties deriving from the application of the methods used for the study of such compartments along the entire vital cycle have determined the inclusion of other procedures such as anthropometry and bioimpedance analyses in body composition studies (4,5); however, there are few published studies addressing this matter in pregnant women (4,5).

On the other hand, the good results from using percentile distribution values for anthropometric variables for the categorization of the nutritional status in other stages of life (6), together with the existing evidences of the deleterious effects of nutritional alterations in the mother, either in deficit or in excess, on the product of conception (4,5,7,8), and which are reflected in the changes in both fat and lean compartments of a pregnant woman throughout gestation, suggest the importance of having reference values for such compartments in this physiological condition.

The aforementioned and the lack of reference values of these variables and indicators in pregnant women form the basis of this investigation, which purpose is to determine the percentile distribution of subcutaneous skin-fold thickness at different sites, namely: Biceps (BS), triceps (TS), subscapular (SBS), mid-thigh (MTS), and at both arm fat (AFA) and arm muscle (AMA) areas in adult pregnant women for each week of gestational age in this population.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participants DESIGN

This descriptive and cross-sectional study, with a field-based design, included measurements of BS (4,217), TS (4,481), SBS (4,469), and MTS (4,261) skin-fold thicknesses obtained from 745 pregnancies out of 719 subjects aged between 19 and 39 years, and evaluated at the “Nutritional Care for Pregnant Women” unit of the Centro de Atención Nutricional Infantil Antímano (CANIA), between October 1998 and July 2012.

The inclusion criteria were: well-nourished and healthy pregnant women, with single pregnancy, and gestational age at admission to the study of 13 weeks, according to the last menstrual period (LMP). The gestational age was calculated using the Battaglia clinical method. The gestational age was validated by obstirical ultrasound, where the difference between both gestational age estimation methods could not exceed 2 weeks.

The exclusion criteria were: a difference of more than 2 weeks in the calculation of the gestational age, and change of the nutritional diagnosis (well-nourished) in any moment after inclusion of the patient in the study. The clinical edema was controlled during the entire study. It’s important to know that anthropometric measurements during the first two trimesters of pregnant women that showed clinical edema in the third trimester and change of the nutritional diagnosis were maintained in the study.

The nutritional classification of the subjects was made by applying the integral nutritional diagnosis based on clinical, anthropometric, dietary, and biochemical indicators, as previously established (9).

All of the required variables established in the protocol of the study were taken during the screening visit; dietary, clinical and anthropometric variables were registered in the following fortnight endpoints. The biochemical variables were only required according to the standards and procedures established for this if necessary.

With regard to the anthropometric indicators used in the nutritional classification, it should be noted that, for the interpretation of the body mass index (BMI), Frisancho’s classification criteria (6) were used in the first trimester due to the fact that they showed the best results for sensitivity and specificity in the diagnosis of the nutritional status in malnourished pregnant women by deficit and excess in previous studies of the same population (10). In the following trimesters, Atalah’s reference values were used as these were the only ones available for the follow-up of this indicator (11).

The study was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee of CANIA.

Anthropometric data collection

On average, 6 anthropometric measurements were performed for each subject, with values of minimum 1 and maximum 15. The number of measurements obtained for each studied variable ranged from a minimum of 100 to a maximum of 239 for each week of
gestation, meeting the quality criterion set by Jelliffe & Jelliffe in preparing reference values for these studies, which is a minimum of 100 measurements (12). These measurements were performed during the first study visit and subsequently every 15 days until pregnancy week 39; however, the percentile distribution for each variable was performed until pregnancy week 37 as the minimum required number of measurements was not available from that week on; however, this result did not affect the applicability of the percentile distribution for body composition anthropometric indicators in daily clinical practice.

The analyzed variables were the subcutaneous skinfold thicknesses at the following sites: BS, TS, SBS, MTS, and arm fat and arm muscle areas. The anthropometric measurements were conducted by anthropometric measurement technicians, previously trained and standardized every three months, as recommended by the International Biological Program (13).

The mean intraobserver measurement error (mm) for each variable was: 0.413 BS, 0.305 TS, 0.384 SBS, and 0.523 118 MTS. The mean interobserver measurement error (mm) values were: 0.157 and 0.186 for TS and SBS, respectively.

A Holtain LTD® caliper (precision: 0.2 mm) was used for the skinfold measurements, exerting a constant pressure of 10 g/mm², and a Nasco® measuring tape (precision: 0.1 cm) was used to measure the mid-arm circumference (MAC) in centimeters. The latter was used to estimate both the AFA and AMA areas, using the following equations:

\[
AFA \text{ cm}^2 = TS \text{ cm} \times (MAC \text{ cm} - \pi \times TS \text{ cm}) \div 4
\]

\[
AMA \text{ cm}^2 = [MAC \text{ cm} - (\pi \times TS \text{ cm})]^2 \div 4 \pi
\]

In women aged ≥ 19 years, 6.5 cm² (bone area) are subtracted from the estimated value (14).

Statistical analysis

For the statistical analysis, the following descriptive statistics were obtained: mean, standard deviation, median, modan, asymmetry, standard asymmetric errors, kurtosis, standard kurtosis errors, and the maximum and minimum values. These were applied to all the studied variables.

Mean equality was evaluated using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, and the equality of variances was tested using the Levene test. Both tests were applied in all of the studied variables.

T-test and Mann-Whitney test were used to study the significance of differences between the means and the distributions, respectively for the analyzed variables. The established level of significance was 0.05.

In order to establish a linear relationship between all the studied anthropometric variables used to esti-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistical Variable</th>
<th>BS</th>
<th>TS</th>
<th>SBS</th>
<th>MTS</th>
<th>AFA</th>
<th>AMA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>4,217</td>
<td>4,481</td>
<td>4,469</td>
<td>4,261</td>
<td>4,481</td>
<td>4,481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>8.5359</td>
<td>17.8233</td>
<td>17.2217</td>
<td>25.9763</td>
<td>27.8302</td>
<td>28.6541</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard deviation</td>
<td>2.36094</td>
<td>3.85387</td>
<td>4.9179</td>
<td>5.46019</td>
<td>5.36145</td>
<td>4.29732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27.393</td>
<td>28.4017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>21.91</td>
<td>25.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asymmetry</td>
<td>0.623</td>
<td>0.307</td>
<td>0.596</td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td>0.433</td>
<td>0.324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard asymmetric error</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurtosis</td>
<td>0.415</td>
<td>-0.216</td>
<td>0.121</td>
<td>-0.443</td>
<td>-0.028</td>
<td>-0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard kurtosis error</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>0.073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>15.72</td>
<td>15.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>54.69</td>
<td>47.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentile 25</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23.58</td>
<td>25.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentile 50</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27.39</td>
<td>28.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentile 75</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>31.56</td>
<td>31.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: BS: biceps skinfold TS: triceps skinfold SBS: subscapular skinfold MTS: mid-thigh skinfold AFA: arm fat area AMA: arm muscle area.
mate body composition, bivariate correlations were calculated using the following values for their interpretation: weak correlation (≤ 0.49), medium correlation (0.50 – 0.74), strong correlation (0.75 – 0.89), and very strong correlation ≥ 0.9.

Likewise, for each studied variable, percentiles 3, 10, 25, 50, 75, 90, and 97 were calculated for each gestational age week between weeks 8 and 37. The data presented for all of the analyzed percentiles in each week of pregnancy are the original. Data processing was carried out with the SPSS program (Version 19.0).

RESULTS

The distribution of the pregnant women by age shows a higher concentration of women aged between 19 and 23 years, which represent 49.5 % of the study group. This is due to the demographic characteristics of the population attending consultation (CANIA 2013).

The descriptive statistics for all of the analyzed variables: BS, TS, SBS and MTS skin-fold thicknesses, and at both AFA and AMA are shown in Table 1.

The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test values evidence a normal distribution of the data. The coefficient of variation shows a dispersion proportion of the distribution with respect to the mean difference for each variable, with a smaller proportion for the AMA (15.13 %) and higher proportions for the SBS (29.27 %) and BS (28.79 %).

The correlation analysis showed a very strong correlation only between the TS and the AFA; a mean correlation between the TS and the remaining analyzed variables; and the same for the BS, SBS, and MTS with all of the variables, except for the AMA.

The percentiles for the distribution of the analyzed variables are presented in Figures 1 through 6. It is important to point out that statistical smoothing was not applied to the data presented per gestational age.
With respect to the behavior of the variables, the following was found:

- The BS values show slight increases and decreases from week 8 to week 13, remaining stable after this period until week 17, from which a discretely gradual increase is evidenced, and finally dropping at week 37 (Figure 1).
- The TS data shows small decreases and increases throughout the analyzed weeks, with the lowest values at week 9 and from week 11 through 14, and again at week 21 (Figure 2).
- The SBS variable shows higher values from week 14 (Figure 3). The values shown on these last two skinfolds decrease from week 36.
- The MTS shows steady values until week 13, subsequently showing a sustained increase until week 35, also decreasing after this week (Figure 4).

- In general, apart from the weekly variations, it appears that the skinfold values tend to increase until weeks 27 to 29 of gestation, maintaining at this point the highest values for the entire term until week 37 for the BS, until week 36 for the TS and SBS, and until week 35 for the MTS, and after these weeks, the values for each skinfold decrease.

- The behavior of the AFA indicator remains stable until week 25, dropping only twice at weeks 17 and 20. A considerable increase is registered at week 27, when its values are maintained above those registered before this week (Figure 5).

- The AMA indicator shows steady values until week 28, thereafter presenting slight increases and decreases until the end of gestation (Figure 6).

The changes in variables from weeks 8 thru 37, referred to in the 50th percentile, were as follows: skinfolds (mm): biceps 1.30, triceps...
1.40, subscapular 2.90, and thigh 5.00; and areas (cm²): fat 1.86 and muscle -0.02.

Anthropometric variables such as BS, TS, SBS, MTS, and AMA showed statistically significant variations during two consecutive weeks of gestational age as follows: BS during weeks 9-10, 12-13, and 19-20; TS only during weeks 21-22; SBS during weeks 21-22, 27-28, and 28-29; MTS during weeks 27-28, 29-30, and 34-35; and AMA during weeks 29-30, 32-33 and 34-35.

DISCUSSION

The progressive increase of all of the skin-fold thicknesses analyzed until week 36 ± 1, agrees with the pattern reported in other studies conducted in adult healthy pregnant women from various urban zones Villar et al. (5) in Guatemala, López et al. (15) in Argentina, as well as the Institute of Medicine of the United States (16), suggesting that the changes in the fat deposits throughout pregnancy have a characteristic biological pattern.

Notably, the overall increase of the triceps skinfold, which was statistically significant in this study group, was higher than those reported by Villar et al. (5) and by Forsum et al. (17) in Swedish pregnant women. Both studies consisted of adult, healthy women.

It has been demonstrated that the reduction of subcutaneous skin-fold thickness at the end of pregnancy is the result of the mobilization of the fat stored in the first and second trimesters to be used by the fetus in the third trimester, which is the period of maximum growth (17).

Additionally, in a study conducted in Indonesia in women of low socioeconomic status, with a caloric intake of 70% of the RDA during the first trimester and 80% during the second and third trimesters, the triceps skin-folds showed small inter-weekly variations as to increases or decreases, but did not change completely throughout pregnancy (18), and the same was demonstrated in a study conducted in India (19). The results reported in both publications may be attributed to a caloric intake too poor to cover the increased calorie requirements during pregnancy. This emphasizes the importance of an adequate nutritional status and caloric intake in pregnant women, particularly because of the above-mentioned use of the fat stores by the fetus for its growth and development.

The overall increase in skin-fold thickness, which is statistically significant and characteristic in healthy pregnant women when the intake of macronutrients is adequate (20), was below that reported in other studies (5,15,17).

The highest subcutaneous fat build-up at the thigh was in agreement with the findings of Taggart et al. (21), Forsum et al.(17), and by Stuebe et al. (22), who reported that during pregnancy, the fat storage is seen mainly in this area. Likewise, some investigators have determined that the fat storage in this area during pregnancy represents an important source of energy to be used later during lactation (23,24). This is corroborated by the characteristic metabolic activity of fat cells in the femoral region during gestation, which show an increase in the lipoprotein lipase activity, accompanied by a decrease in lipolysis, which leads to the accumulation of triglycerides in the fat stores of this region, thus originating larger fat cells. This characteristic pattern during pregnancy changes during lactation (23).

Finally, the mean changes and the significant increase in the arm fat area in the 50th percentile were expected due to the fact that these variables, when calculated, involve the triceps skin-fold. As mentioned previously, these changes are physiological as it has been demonstrated that the fat stored during the first two trimesters of gestation is intended to prepare a pregnant woman for the high energy demand in her last trimester of pregnancy and subsequently for lactation (21).

Even though this study group underwent controls to prevent pregnancy edema, it is thought that the changes in subcutaneous tissue intravascular and extravascular water can also play a role in these changes, as has been reported by other investigators (17,25), based on the rapid loss of one third of the increase in subcutaneous skin-fold thickness during pregnancy, occurring immediately after the birth (16,26). Also, it is important to note that the changes in subcutaneous tissue during the gestation period, resulting from a state of hydration, could overestimate actual fat deposits during this period of life (17).

The significance of changes in subcutaneous skin-fold thickness, particularly during the weeks of the third trimester of gestation, analyzed within the context of typical metabolic changes during pregnancy, could be interpreted as an increase in fat reserves in the bodies of these women; however, in pregnant women, this interpretation of changes in subcutaneous skin-fold
thickness reflecting the body fat reserves, and consequently the nutritional status, becomes complicated due to the presence of other factors such as the redistribution of existing fat stores from central to peripheral zones in order to make room for the fetus in the abdominal cavity and to store the additional fat required during pregnancy and subsequently during lactation (16,22,27).

In addition, even though the presence of clinical edema is controlled as was done in this study, the existence of growing concentrations of estrogen promote changes in the water retention capacity of subcutaneous tissues, enabling the existence of subclinical edema. On the other hand, such edema may increase the subcutaneous tissue resistance to compression, resulting in the enhanced thickness of skin-folds, which does not correspond to subcutaneous fat increases (27).

With respect to the lean component, it is thought that the non-significant decrease in fat mass throughout gestation could be a consequence of the decrease of intracellular water contained in the muscle during pregnancy (25).

There are no published studies addressing this matter to allow for an external validation of these results. However, it is worth noting that this study meets the established requirements for obtaining reference anthropometric values (28) as follows:

The sample is adequate. It includes the minimum required data for this type of study. This is seen across the analyzed variables and is exceeded in most of them. Additionally, all studied women are healthy and well-nourished.

- The methodology is well described and ensures its reproducibility.
- Errors regarding instruments (precision) and measurement techniques (bias) have been controlled by calibrating the measuring instruments on the one hand, and on the other hand by regularly standardizing the anthropometrists who performed the measurements.

The gap in the existing knowledge about this particular topic and the limitations of accurate methods for body composition measurements in pregnant women justify this type of studies.

CONCLUSION

Even though the effectiveness (sensitivity and specificity) of the presented values has not been evaluated, the availability of percentile distributions of the anthropometric variables used in the evaluation of body composition for pregnant women per gestational age, contributes to optimizing the nutritional categorization in this population group.
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